## Case 3503

# Papilio hesperus Westwood, 1843 (Insecta, Lepidoptera, PAPILIONIDAE): proposed conservation by the suppression of Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 (NYMPHALIDAE)

Torben B. Larsen

Jacobys alle 2, 1806 Frederiksberg C, Denmark (e-mail: torbenlarsen@btinternet.com)

### Masaya Yago

The University Museum, The University of Tokyo, 7–3–1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113–0033, Japan (e-mail: myago@um-u-tokyo.ac.jp)

### R.I. Vane-Wright

Department of Entomology, the Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK; and Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NR, U.K. (e-mail: dickvanewright@btinternet.com)

### Mark Williams

PO Box 12538, Onderstepoort 0110, South Africa (e-mail: mark.williams@up.ac.za)

### Kyoichiro Ueda

Kitakyushu Museum of Natural History and Human History, 2-4-1 Higashida, Yahatahigashi-ku, Kitakyushu 805-0071, Japan (e-mail: ueda@kmnh.jp)

## Takashi Yokochi 1–10–26 Shonan, Owariasahi, Aichi 488–0823, Japan (e-mail: tyokochi@ga2.so-net.ne.jp)

190

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Article 23.9.3 of the Code, is to conserve the name Papilio hesperus Westwood, 1843 (Lepidoptera, PAPILIONIDAE) for a well-known species of butterfly. In 1995 it was proposed to ask the Commission to suppress Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 (Lepidoptera, NYMPHALIDAE) in order to conserve Papilio hesperus Westwood, 1843 (Lepidoptera, PAPILIONIDAE), but a formal application was never made. The senior name has not otherwise been used except as a junior synonym of Papilio daedalus Fabricius, 1775, or in inconclusive discussions, for 200 years or more. Accepting its seniority would be very disruptive to taxonomic stability of butterfly names in much of the African rainforest zone. The suppression of Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 for the purposes of both the Principle of Homonymy and the Principle of Priority would coincidentally also serve to conserve the name Harma chalcis C. & R. Felder, 1860, which is in widespread use in much of Africa in the combination Euryphura chalcis.

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; Insecta; Lepidoptera; NYMPHALIDAE; PAPILIONI-DAE; *Papilio*; *Hamanumida*; *Hamanumida daedalus*; *meleagris*; *hesperus*; *phemius*; *chalcis*; Africa.

### Introduction

1. Papilio daedalus Fabricius, 1775 (p. 482) (currently Hamanumida daedalus) has been widely used since its publication for a very characteristic nymphalid butterfly that is common in all Afrotropical countries (d'Abrera, 1980, p. 346). This name has also universally been accepted as a senior synonym of *Papilio melantha* Fabricius, 1775 (p. 513) (type material of *P. melantha* in Banks Collection, Natural History Museum, London). *Papilio dedalus* Cramer, 1775 (currently *Eupalamides cyparissias* (Fabricius, 1777, p. 257); Heterocera, CASTNIIDAE) is a junior homonym of *Papilio daedalus* Fabricius, 1775 under Article 58.1 of the Code, and is considered to have been published 31 December 1775 (see Opinion 516, *Opinions and Declarations*, **19**: 1–43, May 1958). *Papilio daedalus* Fabricius, 1775 is a senior synonym of *Papilio meleagris* Cramer [1775, p. 102]. During the 19th century *daedalus* and *meleagris* were both widely used, always for what we now know to be seasonal forms of the same species. Drury [1782] gave a good illustration of the latter, thus popularising the name *meleagris*.

2. Hübner [1819, p. 18] placed *Papilio meleagris* in his new genus *Hamanumida* together with several other species that were completely unrelated, but he designated no type species and did not mention *P. daedalus* or *P. hesperus*.

3. Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 (p. 47) has also generally been considered a junior synonym of *P. daedalus*, or of uncertain status. There is no type material in the Fabricius Collection (ZMUC, Copenhagen). In his description, Fabricius refers to an illustration made by William Jones from a specimen in Drury's collection. Godart [1824] (p. 327) gave a French translation of the original description and referred to the illustration in 'Jones Icones', placing *hesperus* Fabricius as a species in the genus *Nymphalis* – in which he also placed *P. daedalus* Fabricius, 1775.

4. Westwood [1846–1852] included *P. hesperus* Fabricius, 1793 as a possible member of the Oriental genus *Adolias* Boisduval, 1836 with a question mark, without description and without locality. Westwood also makes reference to 'Jones Icones', which he may have used to reach this conclusion. Moore (1859) included it as sp. 50 in his revision of *Adolias*, referring back to the above works, without any description or further information. No other African species was included in Moore's concept of *Adolias* (currently the Oriental genus *Euthalia* Hübner, [1819]).

5. Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 was quoted as a junior synonym of Aterica daedalus by Butler [1870], though with the following comment: '... the description of *P. hesperus* is not good, and agrees much better with the female of Adolias phemius of Doubleday [currently Euthalia phemius (Doubleday, [1848])]'. However, Butler presumably did not see the 'Icones', since neither sex of *E. phemius* could possibly be mistaken for the species figured by Jones (see paras 12, 13, below). Had Butler actually seen the 'Icones', he would certainly have considered it a valid species rather than a potential synonym.

#### Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 68(3) September 2011



Fig. 1. Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 [currently Euryphura chalcis (C. & R. Felder, 1860)], figures of syntype in Jones' Icones (Oxford University Museum of Natural History), photo by K. Ueda.

6. Kirby's (1871) well-known world catalogue of butterflies placed P. daedalus as the only valid species in the genus Hamanumida (including meleagris, melantha and hesperus Fabricius as junior synonyms). Scudder (1875, p. 183) considered Kirby's action to be a valid type species restriction for the genus, but Scudder's own deliberate selection of 'P. daedalus (meleagris)' from among all Hübner's putative members of the group should be considered the valid designation of the type species according to Hemming (1967, p. 207). Thus the type species of the genus Hamanumida is Papilio meleagris Cramer, [1775]. P. hesperus Fabricius, 1793 has been treated as a junior synonym of P. daedalus in subsequent literature on African Lepidoptera.

7. De Nicéville (1886) mentioned P. hesperus Fabricius, 1793 in his list of references under Euthalia phemius Doubleday, but also referred to the fact that Butler [1870] placed it as a junior synonym of Aterica daedalus. It was not used as a valid name. There will have been additional indecisive discussions in the literature on Oriental butterflies, but we have not seen any from the 20th century.

192

8. In his influential book, the first to treat the entire known African butterfly fauna, Aurivillius [1899] used Hamanumida daedalus as the valid name but treated meleagris as a seasonal form 'var. (temp)'. The original descriptions are in accord with this view (daedalus: '... alæ subtus ochraceæ, immaculatæ aut obsolete maculæ' [dry season]; meleagris: '... alæ subtus ochraceæ, albomaculatæ' [wet season]). Drury's [1782] illustration of *meleagris* has the white-spotted wet season underside that is almost immaculate in the nominate dry season morph. Aurivillius ([1899], 1912, p. 191) made no reference to P. hesperus Fabricius, presumably considering this now to be an 'Indian' matter.

9. Shortly after Scudder's designation of the type species, the combination Hamanumida daedalus became almost universally used, with Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 usually mentioned as a junior synonym. Following Aurivillius [1899], the name meleagris fell into disuse, except as an infrasubspecific name for the wet season morph.

#### Homonymy

10. Despite usually being considered a junior subjective synonym of *P. daedalus* in Africa and its rather confused treatment in the Indian literature, *Papilio hesperus* Fabricius, 1793 (p. 47) remains an available name and is therefore a senior primary homonym of *Papilio hesperus* Westwood, [1843, p. 189]. *Papilio hesperus* Westwood is a majestic swallowtail (PAPILIONIDAE) that is widespread in the rainforests between Nigeria, Uganda and Zambia (d'Abrera, 1980, p. 16). This combination has been used consistently since the description was published more than 150 years ago, although sometimes removed to the genus *Princeps* Hübner, [1807], which is treated as, at best, a subgenus by most authors (e.g. Collins & Morris, 1985; Ackery et al., 1995; Smith & Vane-Wright, 2008).

11. This homonymy was recognised by Ackery et al. (1995) in the authoritative catalogue 'Carcasson's African Butterflies'. The authors stated: 'The name *Papilio* hesperus Westwood has been in widespread use since its establishment. We propose to make a case to the I.C.Z.N. to here set aside the principle of priority, in order to maintain stability by conserving *P. hesperus* Westwood as a valid nominal taxon'. The plea on *P. hesperus* Westwood was also followed by later researchers (e.g. Larsen, 2003, 2005; Zakharov et al., 2004). Such an application has not yet been made, but is still necessary for nomenclatural stability. If *P. hesperus* Westwood cannot be used, its replacement would be *Papilio horribilis* var. calabaricus Distant, 1879 (p. 649). Although calabaricus was originally described as a variety and has not been in use as a valid name since 1899, because it was published before 1961 and its author did not give it infrasubspecific rank (Article 45.6.4), it is available.

#### Discussion

12. We recently discovered that the specimen illustrated in the original Jones' Icones in Oxford (Jones, before summer 1787: see Vane-Wright & Gaonkar, 2006; Vane-Wright, 2010) to which the description of Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 refers is very different from his Papilio daedalus (for an account of the otherwise unpublished Jones' Icones, see Waterhouse, 1938); this combination cannot be considered a junior synonym thereof. The specimen is not in the Banks Collection at the Natural History Museum, London, nor in the Hunterian Museum, Glasgow, but not all the paintings in the 'Icones' were based on material that formed part of those collections. One of the most frequent sources that Jones used was that of another London-based collector, Dru Drury - whom Jones clearly indicates as the source of his illustration. The most likely depository for Drury specimens is the Macleay collection in Sydney, Australia – but only a small proportion of his material survives there (Hancock et al., 2008), and no original P. hesperus Fabricius material has been located. 13. Jones's illustration was, as usual, of exceptional accuracy (e.g. Vane-Wright & Gaonkar, 2006; Vane-Wright, 2010). The specimen of Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 figured in the 'Icones' and referenced in the original description is without doubt a male of Harma chalcis C. & R. Felder, 1860 from 'Guinea'. Though this species is actually compatible with Fabricius's summary description, no-one ever made this suggestion before. Butler [1870] would certainly have done so (see para. 3) had he actually seen the 'Icones' at the time, since the Felders' work was well known to him by then. Harma chalcis is now placed in the genus Euryphura Staudinger, 1891, and is widely distributed

throughout the African rainforest zone. The name *chalcis* has been consistently used since it was described in 1860 in various publications and, since 1891, nearly always as *Euryphura chalcis* – although Ackery et al. (1995) treated *Euryphura* as a subgenus of *Euriphene* Boisduval, 1847. It has sometimes been confused with *Euryphura plautilla* (Hewitson, 1865). Under the provisions of Article 23.9.1 of the Code, the condition of Article 23.9.1.1 is met in the case of *P. hesperus* Fabricius, since the name is a senior synonym of *E. chalcis* and has not been used as a valid name after 1899. However, *E. chalcis* has not been used in at least 25 works, published by at least 10 authors in the immediately preceding 50 years and encompassing a span of not less than 10 years (the list is held by the Secretariat), so the condition of Article 23.9.1.2 is not met. Thus we consider that the use of *P. hesperus* Fabricius (the senior synonym) would threaten stability or universality, and so wish to maintain use of *E. chalcis* (the junior synonym) under the provision of Article 23.9.3.

14. Papilio hesperus Fabricius, 1793 has effectively never been used except as a synonym of Papilio daedalus or given uncertain status before 1899, as mentioned above (paras 3, 4, 13). Papilio hesperus Westwood, 1843, on the other hand, is at present widely used (a list of 53 publications using this combination, the status of which has never been questioned, is held by the Secretariat). It is also well-established as the name for a species-group of four or five similar, largely allopatric swallowtails (the Papilio hesperus-group: e.g. Berger, 1950; Munroe, 1961; Hancock, 1983; Zakharov et al., 2004). The term was used earlier in a slightly wider sense by Aurivillius (1899, p. 16), and in 'Seitz' (Aurivillius, 1908, p. 16).

15. The suppression of *Papilio hesperus* Fabricius, 1793 would serve to avoid significant confusion concerning the well-known *Papilio hesperus* Westwood, 1843, the *Papilio hesperus*-group, and the subspecific name associated with the species. It would also dispel any doubt as to the continued validity of *Euryphura chalcis* (C. & R. Felder, 1860), or the recurrence of the name *hesperus* in discussions on Oriental *Euthalia* Hübner, [1819] (formerly *Adolias*). There would be no negative consequences; interpretation of all existing literature would remain unaffected. However, *P. hesperus* Fabricius remains a primary homonym. Under the provisions of Article 23.9.1 of the Code, the condition of Article 23.9.1.1 is met for conserving *P. hesperus* Westwood, but that of Article 23.9.1.2 is not met, as in *E. chalcis*.

16. Under the provisions of Articles 23.9.1 and 23.9.2 of the Code, it would be possible to conserve the homonymous name *P. hesperus* Westwood by declaring it a nomen protectum, without requiring a ruling by the Commission. However, the condition of Article 23.9.1.2 is not met in the case of the synonymous name *E. chalcis*, so a strict application of the Code would require replacing this name with its senior synonym, unless the senior name is suppressed under Article 23.9.3. If the Fabrician name were not suppressed, then its resurrection, as *Euryphura hesperus* (Fabricius, 1793), would necessitate a Commission ruling under Article 23.9.5 to conserve Westwood's name, since Article 23.9.1.1 would no longer be satisfied. Therefore, in order to maintain nomenclatural stability and to reduce potential future confusion, it is proposed that the name *Papilio hesperus* Fabricius, 1793, be suppressed under Article 23.9.3 of the Code. 17. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked: (1) to use its plenary power to suppress the name *hesperus* Fabricius, 1793, as published in the binomen *Papilio hesperus*, for the purposes of both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy;

194

- (2) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the name hesperus Westwood, 1843, as published in the binomen Papilio hesperus;
- (3) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology the name *hesperus* Fabricius, 1793, as published in the binomen *Papilio hesperus* and as suppressed in (1) above.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank those Commissioners who have commented in detail on this application, leading to a number of improvements and clarifications. The Oxford University Museum of Natural History and its staff kindly facilitated the photography for Fig. 1.

### References

- Ackery, P.R., Smith, C.R., & Vane-Wright, R.I. (Eds.). 1995. *Carcasson's African butterflies*: an annotated catalogue of the Papilionoidea and Hesperioidea of the Afrotropical Region. xi, 803 pp. CSIRO Australia, Canberra.
- Aurivillius, [P.O.]C. 1899. Rhopalocera Aethiopica. Die Tagfalter des Aethiopischen Faunengebietes. Eine Systematisch-Geographische Studie. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens Handlingar, 31(5): 1–561.
- Aurivillius, [P.O.]C. 1908–1925. 1. The African Rhopalocera. In Seitz, A. (Ed.), Die Gross-Schmetterlinge der Erde, vol. (2)13, vii, 613 pp., 80 pls. F. Lehmann/A. Kernen, Stuttgart.
- Berger, L.A. 1950. Catologues raisonnés de la faune entomologique du Congo Belge Lépidoptères-Rhopalocères 1. – Fam. Papilionidae. Annales du Musée Royal du Congo Belge. C-Zoologie, (3) 8(1): 1–102.
- Boisduval, J.B.A. 1836. In [Roret, Suites à Buffon] Histoire naturelles des Insectes. Species général des Lépidoptères, vol. 1: [iv], xii, 690, 6 pp., 24 pls. Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret, Paris.
- Boisduval, J.B.A. 1847. Catalogue des Lépidoptères recueillis par M. Delegorgue pendant les années 1838–1844 à Port-Natal, au pays de Amazoulous et dans la contrée de Massilicatzi. In Delegorgue, A., Voyage dans l'Afrique australe vol. 2. Pp. 585–602. A. René, Paris.
- Butler, A.G. [1870]. Catalogue of diurnal Lepidoptera described by Fabricius in the collection of the British Museum [vi], 303 pp. British Museum, London.
- Collins, N.M. & Morris, M.G. 1985. Threatened swallowtail butterflies of the world. The IUCN Red Data Book. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- Cramer, P. [1775–1776]. De Uitlandsche Kapellen voorkomende in de drie Waereld-Deelen Asia, Africa en America. Vol. I. [vi], xxx, 16 pp., 155 pp., 96 pls. S.J. Baalde, Amsteldam/B.

- Wild, Utrecht.
- d'Abrera, B. 1980. Butterflies of the Afrotropical region. xx, 593 pp. Lansdowne Press, Melbourne. de Nicéville, L. 1886. The butterflies of India, Burmah and Ceylon, vol. 2. vii, 332 pp., 8 pls. Calcutta Central Press, Calcutta.
- Distant, W.L. 1879. On some African species of the lepidopterous genus Papilio. Proceedings of the Scientific Meetings of the Zoological Society of London, 1879: 646–649.
- Drury, D. 1782. Illustrations of natural history, vol. 3. xxviii, 76, 2 pp., 50 pls. B. White, London.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1775 [17 April]. Systema Entomologiae [xxxii], 1-832. Flensburgh & Leipzig, Library Kortii.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1793. Entomologia systematica emendata et aucta, 3 (1): [vi], 1–488. C.G. Proft, Copenhagen.
- Felder, C. & Felder, R. 1860. Lepidopterologische Fragmente. Wiener Entomologische Monatschrift, 4: 225–251.
- Godart, J.B. 1819–1824. In Latreille, P.A. & Godart, J.B., Encyclopédie méthodique, Histoire naturelle des insectes, vol. 9. 828 pp. Veuve Agasse, Paris.
- Hancock, D.L. 1983. Classification of the Papilionidae (Lepidoptera): a phylogenetic approach. *Smithersia*, **2**: 1–48.

- Hancock, E.G., Broadsmith-Brown, G., Douglas, A.S. & Vane-Wright, R.I. 2008. William Hunter's Museum and discovery of the Madagascan pipevine swallowtail butterfly, *Pharmacophagus antenor* (Drury, 1773). *Antenna*, **32**(1): 10–17.
- Hemming, F. 1967. The generic names of the butterflies and their type-species (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera). Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) (Entomology), Supplement, 9: 1–509.
- Hübner, J. [1806–1819]. Sammlung exotischer Schmetterlinge, 1: [vi], 213 pls. J. Hübner, Augsburg.
- Hübner, J. [1816–1826]. Verzeichniss bekannter Schmetterlinge. 431+72 pp. J. Hübner, Augsburg.
- Jones, W. [dates uncertain]. 'Icones' a roll of 35 mm slides (*ca* 1979), of which few exist, depicting *ca* 1500 paintings of butterflies by Jones *ca* 1780–1810 [The originals were donated to Oxford University around 1925–1933 by a descendant of William Jones]. Oxford University Museum of Natural History. [The specific images referred to here were evidently published prior to summer 1787: see Vane-Wright & Gaonkar, 2006, p. 297, and Vane-Wright, 2010.]
- Kirby, W.F. 1871. A synonymic catalogue of the diurnal Lepidoptera. viii, 690 pp. Van Voorst, London.
- Larsen, T.B. 2003. The Emperor Swallowtails (*Papilio hesperus* Westwood, 1843 and *P. horribilis* Butler, 1874) in West Africa (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). *Entomologists' Record and Journal of Variation*, **115**: 189–192.
- Larsen, T.B. 2005. Butterflies of West Africa. 2 vols., 596 pp, 125 pls. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, Denmark.
- Moore, F. 1859. A Monograph of the genus *Adolias*, a genus of diurnal Lepidoptera belonging to the family Nymphalidae. *Transactions of the Entomological Society of London*, New series, 5: 62–87.
- Munroe, E. [1961]. The classification of the Papilionidae (Lepidoptera). Canadian Entomologist, Supplements, 17: 1–51.
- Scudder, S.H. 1875. Historical sketch of the generic names proposed for butterflies a contribution to systematic nomenclature. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* (Boston), 10: 91–293.
- Smith, C.R. & Vane-Wright, R.I. 2008. Classification, nomenclature and identification of lime swallowtail butterflies: a post-cladistic analysis (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). Systematics and Biodiversity, 6(2): 175–203.
- Staudinger, O. 1891. Neue exotische Lepidopteren. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift, Iris, 4: 61–157.
- Vane-Wright, R.I. 2010. William Jones of Chelsea (1745–1818), and the need for a digital, online 'Icones'. Antenna, 34 (1): 16–21.
- Vane-Wright, R.I. & Gaonkar, H. 2006. The Arhopala butterflies described by Fabricius: A. centaurus is from Java, A. democritus from Phuket (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). Entomological Science, 9: 295–311.
- Waterhouse, D.F. 1938. Notes on Jones' Icones (Lepidoptera). With footnotes and appendix by Sir Edward Poulton. Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London (A), 13: 9–17.
  Westwood, J.O. [1842–1843]. Arcana Entomologica; or illustrations of new, rare, and interesting species, vol. 1. iv, 192 pp. W. Smith, London.
  Westwood, J.O. [1846–1852]. In Doubleday, E. & Westwood, J.O. The genera of diurnal Lepidoptera, vol. 2. Pp. 251–534, pls. 31–80, Suppl. pl. Longman, Brown, Green & Longmans, London.
  Zakharov, E.V., Caterino, M.S. & Sperling, F.A.H. 2004. Molecular phylogeny, historical biogeography, and divergence time estimates for swallowtail butterflies of the genus Papilio (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). Systematic Biology, 53: 193–215.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 66: 204.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to the Executive Secretary, I.C.Z.N., c/o Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).